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| --- |
| **Register content codes - 2017 operational review consultation paper** |
| Submitter (contact name, position, email address): |

| **Question** | **Comment** |
| --- | --- |
| Q1. Do you agree the issues identified by the Authority are worthy of attention? If not, please explain why. |  |
| Q2. Do you agree that the proposed business requirements around period of availability and distributor’s pricing information will support accurate application of register content codes and periods of availability for ICP based volume prices? If not, please explain. |  |
| Q3. Do you agree with the Authority’s preferred Option D which introduces generic register content codes for mass market TOU prices, and for consistency deletes existing customised codes that specify time blocks in the descriptions? If not, which option do you prefer and why? |  |
| Q4. If the Authority implements Option D, we propose to allow participants 6 months to convert from using the customised register content codes to the corresponding generic register content codes (mapping demonstrated in Appendix C). Would this be sufficient time? If not, please advise how much time would be reasonable.  |  |
| Q5. Do you agree that the Authority should progress a Code change to mandate that a distributor’s pricing information must contain certain information to assist consistent and correct application of register content codes and periods of availability for ICP based volume prices? If not, please explain why. |  |
| Q6. Do you agree with the objectives of the proposed amendments? If not, why not? |  |
| Q7. Do you agree the benefits of the proposed amendments outweigh the costs? If not, please explain your reasons. |  |
| Q8. Do you agree the proposed amendments are preferable to other options? If you disagree, please give reasons. |  |
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