

# Submissions to the Electricity Authority on the Operational review of register content codes

## Response from Flick Energy Limited

Dated 21 September 2017

For email to [submissions@ea.govt.nz](mailto:submissions@ea.govt.nz) by 5pm, 29 September 2017

We appreciate this opportunity to consult on the changes proposed by the Authority in the Consultation paper on the Operational review of register content codes.

We support the work the Authority is undertaking with this review and are in agreement with the changes proposed by the Authority.

For any questions relating to this submission, please contact:

Maeve Jones

Legal Counsel  
Flick Energy Ltd  
PO Box 19-098  
Courtenay Place  
Wellington 6149

Email: [maeve.jones@flickelectric.co.nz](mailto:maeve.jones@flickelectric.co.nz)

Phone: 027 696 0014

# Flick Energy Limited submissions

Question	Comment
<p>Q1. Do you agree the issues identified by the Authority are worthy of attention?</p> <p>If not, please explain why.</p>	Yes
<p>Q2. Do you agree that the proposed business requirements around period of availability and distributor's pricing information will support accurate application of register content codes and periods of availability for ICP based volume prices?</p> <p>If not, please explain.</p>	Yes
<p>Q3. Do you agree with the Authority's preferred Option D which introduces generic register content codes for mass market TOU prices, and for consistency deletes existing customised codes that specify time blocks in the descriptions?</p> <p>If not, which option do you prefer and why?</p>	Yes
<p>Q4. If the Authority implements Option D, we propose to allow participants 6 months to convert from using the customised register content codes to the corresponding generic register content codes (mapping demonstrated in Appendix C).</p> <p>Would this be sufficient time?</p> <p>If not, please advise how much time would be reasonable.</p>	Yes
<p>Q5. Do you agree that the Authority should progress a Code change to mandate that a distributor's pricing information must contain certain information to assist consistent and correct application of register content codes and periods of availability for ICP based volume prices?</p>	Yes

Question	Comment
If not, please explain why.	
Q6. Do you agree with the objectives of the proposed amendments? If not, why not?	Yes
Q7. Do you agree the benefits of the proposed amendments outweigh the costs? If not, please explain your reasons.	Yes
Q8. Do you agree the proposed amendments are preferable to other options? If you disagree, please give reasons.	Yes