

Registry enhancements: Summary of submissions

Submitter	Issue	Proposed response
Proposal 1) Add entry fields to better capture types of distributed generation (DG)		
Mainpower & Marlborough Lines, Northpower, Powerco, SEANZ, Vector	<p>Recommend the Authority expand the registry further to record all forms of DER at an installation, in greater detail.</p> <p>Vector would prefer to update the registry for all forms of DER now. It argues the incremental administrative burden would be minimal (given they would be updating their website, application forms, and internal tools already).</p>	<p>No change.</p> <p>Proposed two-stage approach is preferred to address short-term issue with solar and batteries being incorrectly recorded in the registry/EMI. More policy work is needed to determine how best to record multiple DER at an installation. This work will be done when more substantial registry changes are implemented (as part of the Authority's data project).</p>
Meridian, Northpower, Vector	<p>Requests the Authority provide clear guidance on what to do with existing registry entries.</p> <p>Vector and Northpower say they will update existing entries where they have the necessary information. To encourage other EDBs, Northpower suggest an exemption from the "3 business day" registry update requirement.</p> <p>Vector is opposed to contacting all existing DG customers, noting this would be uneconomic.</p>	<p>Advise EDBs that they are required to comply with clause 11.2 of Part 11. Respond to direct enquiries about potential non-compliance with clause 8(2)(b) of schedule 11.1 as proposed above.</p>
SEANZ	<p>Notes distributed generators are not necessarily entering the correct capacity value into the registry.</p>	<p>Provide guidance to stakeholders.</p>
Network Tasman	<p>Seeks clarification on what data to enter into the registry (eg, the types of inverters in scope).</p>	<p>Provide guidance to stakeholders.</p>
Electra	<p>Asked whether the registry categories should be more generic, so fringe and yet undiscovered types of generation could be included.</p>	<p>No change.</p> <p>The proposed categories provide more granular data, needed to inform investment decisions. Powerco said the proposed categories cover all DG on their network.</p>

Proposal 2) Clarify that the electric vehicle (EV) entry field applies to exporting EVs only		
Vector	Seek regulatory support to encourage sellers, installers, or retailers to notify EDBs when EVs are purchased, and EV chargers installed. This would support EDBs to manage their networks. ⁷	No change. This issue will be considered when more substantial registry changes are implemented (as part of the Authority's data project).
Mainpower & Marlborough Lines	Recommend two registry entries to i) capture if an EV charger is present and ii) charging mode.	No change. This issue will be considered when more substantial registry changes are implemented (as part of the Authority's data project).
Northpower	Concerned that EDBs will not be informed of vehicle to grid (V2G) installations where a change of meter is not required. They seek a change to Part 6 to remedy this.	No change. Capture the concern in Part 6 issues register and consider during wider Part 6 review process.
Network Tasman	Seeks clarity on the nameplate capacity to be added for V2G installations.	Provide guidance to stakeholders.
Proposal 3) Add a tool tip to improve data entry in the 'Property Name' field or delete it altogether		
Bruce Palmer, Genesis, Meridian, Mainpower & Marlborough Lines, Nelson, Network Tasman, Northpower, Orion, Powerco, The Lines Company, Vector, Vector Metering, Wellington	Oppose removing the 'Property name' field. The field is essential for differentiating ICPs in many instances (eg, multiple tenant floors in commercial buildings, green field sites without addresses, cellular towers, council pumping stations, remote sites, ICPs on main highways, traffic lights).	Retain the "Property name" field. Rename the "Property name" to "additional location information". This will clarify it can be used to add information to help locate an ICP.

⁷ Vector updated its network connection standards in May 2023. This required consumers, or their agents, to provide Vector with the certificate of compliance (CoC) after installing an EV charger. Vector say this has been ineffective, with only a few CoCs received despite many EV charger installations.

Electricity, WEL Networks		
Orion, The Lines Company, Vector	Oppose a tool tip. State any restriction on the use of the 'Property name' field would reduce its usefulness for correctly locating ICPs.	Do not add a tool tip as this would reduce the value of the field.
Powerco, Wellington Electricity	Neutral on a tool tip. Powerco say adding the "Property name" field to "My meter" would assist with meter faults and replacement meters.	Do not add a tool tip as this would reduce the value of the field.
Genesis, Mainpower & Marlborough Lines, Meridian, Network Tasman, Northpower, Vector Metering	Support a tool tip. Meridian noted a tool tip wouldn't ensure that information was entered correctly. It suggested the Authority provide examples of how to correctly complete the field.	Do not add a tool tip as this would reduce the value of the field.
General issues		
Network Tasman, Vector	Require time to update their systems, website and application forms.	Allow a 6-month transition period once the registry is updated. Advise EDBs they have the option to transition early.
Genesis	Suggests the Authority consider ways to ensure registry data stays up to date (e.g. data checking and updating expectations).	No change. Out of scope.