

Waikoukou
22 Boulcott Street
PO Box 1021
Wellington 6140
New Zealand
P 64 4 495 7000
F 64 4 495 6968
www.transpower.co.nz

Electricity Authority

15 October 2024

By email: policyconsult@ea.govt.nz

Code Amendment Omnibus #4

We appreciate the opportunity to submit to the Authority's consultation *Code Amendment Omnibus #4*, published 3 September 2024.

We submit on the first, second and fourth proposals of the four, in the appendix:

- Improving consumer access to their electricity information
- Removal of time error management obligations
- Authority's consultation for Under-Frequency events.

Yours sincerely

Joel Cook Head of Regulation

Appendix- Response to Questions

Code amendment omnibus #4 - Submission form

Submissions due 5.00pm Tuesday <u>15 October 2024</u>, to <u>policyconsult@ea.govt.nz</u> with "Code omnibus #4 consultation" in the subject line.

Submitter /		
Organisation		

Transpower NZ Ltd.

Feedback on the omnibus format

reedback on the omnibus format		
Questions	Comments	
Q1.1. Do you have any comments	Yes. We support the approach as an efficient way to	
on the omnibus format or	progress a range of discrete code changes. Two	
suggestions to improve the	improvements are:	
omnibus format?	(1) Clarity on the difference (if any) between the	
Please explain your answer	omnibus format and the review format; as	
	each approach is described as the	
	mechanism for multiple discrete code changes	
	(2) For transparency and good process,	
	identifying the source of the code change	
	proposal e.g. whether as the result of	
	Authority's monitoring and compliance	
	function, or driven by policy programme, or a	
	Code Amendment Request from a	
	participant or person, or some other means.	

Improving consumer access to their electricity information

Questions	Comments
Q2.1. Do you support the Authority's proposal to amend clause 11.32B(1) of the Code to reduce the time a retailer must respond to most requests for consumer electricity information? Please explain your answer	No comment on the specific question. We note that an objective for the Authority's Code amendment and the Government's Consumer Data Right (CDR) Bill are similar: • using consumption data with as the consumer or through a third party "by recommending products and services for them based on their consumption patterns (Authority)¹ • "any consumer of electricity should be able to request or consent to their data being provided by a designated data holder to third parties or accredited requestors to

¹ Code amendment omnibus four: September 2024

support consumer decision making in the electricity sector." (MBIE) ²
We support that MBIE and the Authority are
recognising synergies across its policy development.
Transpower has made its own submission to the
 CDR available (<u>here</u>).

Removal of time error management obligations

Questions	Comments
Q3.1. Do you support the	Yes.
Authority's proposal to remove	
time error management	
requirements for the system	
operator?	
Please explain your answer.	
Q3.2 In particular, do you, or	n/a.
anyone in New Zealand you are	
aware of, still use synchronous	
clocks for business-critical	
applications? If so, do you	
consider the cost of replacement	
with non-synchronous clocks to	
be material?	
Please explain your answer.	
Q3.3. Do you agree the	Yes.
proposed amendment is	
preferable to the other options? If	
you disagree, please explain your	
preferred option in terms	
consistent with the Authority's	
statutory objective in section 15	
of the Electricity Industry Act 2010	
Q3.4. Do you agree with the	Yes.
analysis presented in this	
Regulatory Statement? If not, why	
not?	
Q3.5. Do you have any	No.
comments on the drafting of the	
proposed amendment?	

² <u>Discussion paper — exploring a consumer data right for the electricity sector (mbie.govt.nz)</u>

Under-Frequency events

Questions	Comments
Q5.1. Do you support the	Yes.
Authority's proposal to amend clause 8.61(2) of the Code so that the Authority must only consult on under frequency events where the causer cannot be identified, or an alleged causer denies culpability? Please explain your answer.	We note that whether or not consultation occurs, the proposed drafting retains that the Authority must publish a determination.
	These determinations will become more important for system operation understanding under other proposed changes to Part 8 (see FSR003 below) that propose a causer can additionally be an EDB or an owner of a BESS, as well as the grid owner or a generator.
	A transparent event record will support understanding system responses when electricity is flowing in both directions and there is more distributed generation.
	"FSR-003: Include distributors and energy storage systems as potential causers of under-frequency events" Part 8 Code amendment proposal – Part 1
Q5.2 Do you agree the proposed amendment is preferable to the other options? If you disagree, please explain your preferred option in terms consistent with the Authority's statutory objective in section 15 of the Electricity Industry Act 2010	Yes.
Q5.3. Do you agree with the analysis presented in this Regulatory Statement? If not, why not?	Yes. We agree that the primary benefit is that the Authority saves time and the process is more efficient by not having to consult for straightforward UFE matters where the causer is not disputed.
Q5.4. Do you have any comments on the drafting of the proposed amendment?	Yes. The proposed drafting suggests the process should be able to conclude that there may not be an identifiable causer, if this is intended?
	The proposed drafting states 8.61 (2) In circumstances where the causer of an under-frequency event is not identified in the system operator's report provided under clause 8.60(5), or the alleged causer as identified in the system operator's report denies it is the causer