Appendix A Format for submissions

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Submitter |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Questions | Comments |
| Q1. Do you agree with the assessment of the current situation and context for connection pricing? What if any other significant factors should the Authority be considering? |  |
| Q2. Do you agree with the problem statement for connection pricing? |  |
| Q3. Do you have any comments on the Authority’s proposed pathway to full reform? |  |
| Q4. Do you consider the proposed connection enhancement cost requirements would improve connection pricing efficiency and deliver a net benefit? |  |
| Q5. Are there variations to the proposed connection enhancement cost requirements you consider would materially improve the proposed Code amendment? |  |
| Q6. Do you consider the proposed network capacity costing requirements would improve connection pricing efficiency and deliver a net benefit? |  |
| Q7. Are there variations to the proposed network capacity costing requirements you consider would materially improve the proposed Code amendment? |  |
| Q8. Do you consider the pioneer scheme pricing methodology would improve connection pricing efficiency and deliver a net benefit? |  |
| Q9. Are there variations to the proposed pioneer scheme pricing methodology you consider would materially improve the proposed Code amendment? |  |
| Q10. Do you consider the cost reconciliation methodology would improve connection pricing efficiency and deliver a net benefit? |  |
| Q11. Are there variations to the proposed cost reconciliation methodology you consider would materially improve the proposed Code amendment? |  |
| Q12. Do you consider the reliance limits would improve connection pricing efficiency and deliver a net benefit? |  |
| Q13. Are there any variations to the proposed reliance limits you consider would materially improve the proposed Code amendment? |  |
| Q14. Do you consider the exemption application process (together with guidelines) can be used to achieve the right balance between improving connection pricing efficiency and managing transitional impacts on non-exempt distributors? |  |
| Q15. Do you consider the dispute resolution arrangements proposed (for both participants and non-participants) will provide the right incentives on distributors and connection applicants to resolve disputes about the application of pricing methodologies to connection charges and improve connection pricing efficiency and deliver a net benefit? |  |
| Q16. Are there variations to the proposed dispute resolution arrangements you consider would materially improve the proposed Code amendment? |  |
| Q17. Do you consider the alternative contractual terms option would be better than the approach in the proposed drafting attached to this paper? Please give reasons. |  |
| Q18. Do you think a sinking lid approach to reliance limits would be preferable to the proposed static limits approach described in sections 7.80 – 7.105? |  |
| Q19. Do you think any element of the fast-track package should be omitted, or should begin later than the rest of the package? |  |
| Q20. Are there other parameters you think the Authority should consider for the proposed connection pricing methodologies? If so, which ones and why? |  |
| Q21. Do you agree pricing methodologies should apply to LCC contracts? If not, please explain your rationale. |  |
| Q22. Do you agree the proposed requirements, other than reliance limits, can be applied satisfactorily to connections with vested assets? If not, please explain your rationale. |  |
| Q23. Do you have any comments on the impact of reliance limits on incentives to increase prevalence of asset vesting? |  |
| Q24. Do you agree the proposed methodologies are compatible with contestable connection works? If not, please explain your rationale. |  |
| Q25. Do you agree that fast-track methodologies should not apply to embedded networks? If not, please explain your rationale. |  |
| Q26. Do you have any comments on the Authority’s anticipated solution for longer-term reform? |  |
| Q27. Are there other alternative means of achieving the objective you think the Authority should consider? |  |