

**From:** Mercury  
**To:** S9(2)(a) Andy Doube  
**Cc:** James Flexman ; Phil Gibson ; S9(2)(a)  
**Subject:** RE: Wholesale market review - proactive release of fact checking correspondence, Mercury  
**Date:** Thursday, 25 November 2021 11:09:33 am

---

Thanks S9(2)(a) and Andy thanks for your email – we’ve discussed and while we agree there are no material concerns with the content we also see limited value in the disclosure. Mercury has shown willingness to respond constructively and in good faith with information requests from the EA but we are concerned that unnecessary disclosure starts to undermine this outcome.

---

**From:** S9(2)(a)  
**Sent:** Thursday, 25 November 2021 10:23 AM  
**To:** Andy Doube S9(2)(a) ; S9(2)(a)  
**Cc:** James Flexman S9(2)(a) ; Phil Gibson S9(2)(a) ; S9(2)(a)  
**Subject:** RE: Wholesale market review - proactive release of fact checking correspondence, Mercury

Good morning

I don’t seem to have seen a response to Andy’s email sent on Tuesday – could you please confirm that you don’t have any objections to the publication of correspondence

Thanks

S9(2)(a)

---

**From:** Andy Doube  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 23 November 2021 10:44 am  
**To:** S9(2)(a)  
**Cc:** James Flexman S9(2)(a) ; Phil Gibson S9(2)(a) ; S9(2)(a) ; S9(2)(a)  
**Subject:** Wholesale market review - proactive release of fact checking correspondence, Mercury

Hi S9(2)(a)

On Thursday I’m going to publish additional correspondence (as a proactive OIA) between the EA and generators around the fact checking activity – this is to provide all stakeholders with transparency about the Authority’s processes. I’ve already published a couple of letters from us (these ones - [Supporting material — Electricity Authority \(ea.govt.nz\)](#)) but given there was a bit of back and forth I want to get the others up too. To be clear – this would have redactions of any of the commercially sensitive information and tests applied to the release as if it were an OIA – this is about transparency of process; not disclosure of commercial or other sensitive information.

I’ve attached the various correspondence on this topic between us and you – I do not consider any redactions are required of this correspondence based on confidentiality/commercial sensitivity. But be great if you could come back to me by Wednesday to confirm you don’t have

any objections to publication of this correspondence.

Kind rgds Andy

"The information contained in this transmission is confidential. It is intended for the named addressee only. If you are not the named addressee you may not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance upon this transmission."

PROACTIVELY RELEASED BY  
ELECTRICITY AUTHORITY