

Format for submissions

Submitter	
------------------	--

Questions	Comments
Q1. Do you agree the issues identified by the Authority are worthy of attention? If not, why not?	
Q2. Which option do you consider best addresses the issues and promotes the Authority's main objective? Are there other options we have not considered?	
Q3. Should we require retailers to offer a price plan with time-varying prices for both consumption and injection? Why or why not?	
Q4. Do you have any feedback on the design requirements?	
Q5. Is there a risk that injection rebates will not be passed through to the consumers targeted? If so, how could we safeguard against this risk?	
Q6. Which retailers should be captured by the proposal and why?	
Q7. What are your views on the proposed timeframe for implementation of 1 January 2026? Would 1 April 2026 be preferable, and if so why?	
Q8. What are your views on Part 2 of our proposal that would require retailers to promote the time-varying price plans?	
Q9. What should the Authority consider when establishing the approach to and format of the reporting regime?	
Q10. Should the Authority include a sunset provision in the Code, or a review provision? Why?	
Q11. What are your overall views on Part 3 of the proposal?	
Q12. What are your views on Part 4 of our proposal to amend the Code to require that consumers are assigned to time-varying distribution charges, that retailers provide half-hourly data to distributors for settlement	

Questions	Comments
Q13. Do you agree with the objective of the proposed amendment? If not, why not?	
Q14. Do you agree the benefits of the proposed amendment outweigh its costs?	
Q15. Do you agree the proposed amendment is preferable to the other options? If you disagree, please explain your preferred option in terms consistent with the Authority's statutory objectives in section 15 of the Electricity Industry Act 2010.	
Q14. Do you agree the benefits of the proposed amendment outweigh its costs?	